

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/954,777	09/17/2001	Mark Greenberg	50588/341	7792	
32641 7	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER		
	C/O STOEL RIVES MAIN STREET, SUITE	меек, Јасов м			
ONE UTAH C		1100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			2637		
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/954,777	GREENBERG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jacob Meek	2637	

Before the filling of all Appear Bifor	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacob Meek	2637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
<ul> <li>1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires</li></ul>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the purposes of determining the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•	****	ecause			
(a) $\boxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) $\square$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	etter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).						
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 35 - 38.</li> </ul>		II be entered and an o	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		-	,			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
11. Mathematical The request for reconsideration has been considered because Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:		TEMESCHEN GHE	EBRETINSAE AMINER			
		PAIMARY EX	,			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The change in independent claims 1, and 25 revising the "replay buffer" to "decoder re-processing buffer" will require search not previous performed in order to assess scope of claims and art to address this subject. While this does appear to overcome previously cited art, further search will be required in order to assess decoder reprocessing functionality.

Claims 11 and 17. While, as previously noted, applicant's disclosure differs from Nooralahiyan's disclosure. However, patentablility is based on claims language. Claims 11 and 17 require decoding, or re-decodong. Nooralahiyan meets this limitation by decoding MPEG streams. As there is no specifics given for decoder, any decoder providing a replay function would broadly read on this claim and operation.

To clarify resitriction requirement. Careful reading of claim 35 shows that while similar to claims 1 - 34, limitations are different than previously presented claims. Digital Video Recording is identified as falling under several different categories of art: 386/92, 348/559, or 725/100 and therefore a proper restriction in view of different classification and claim scope.

TEMESCHILL ERRETINSAE